arXiv:1207.1007v1 [math.PR] 4 Jul 2012

The Λ-Fleming-Viot process and a connection with Wright-Fisher diffusion.

Robert C. Griffiths [*]
Oxford University

Abstract

The d-dimensional Λ-Fleming-Viot generator acting on functions $g(\boldsymbol{x})$, with $\boldsymbol{x}$ being a vector of d allele frequencies, can be written as a Wright-Fisher generator acting on functions g with a modified random linear argument of $\boldsymbol{x}$ induced by partitioning occurring in the Λ-Fleming-Viot process. The eigenvalues and right polynomial eigenvectors are easy to see from the representation. The two-dimensional process, which has a one-dimensional generator, is considered in detail. A non-linear equation is found for the Green's function. In a model with genic selection the fixation probability can be computed from an algorithm in the paper.

An application in the infinitely-many-alleles Λ-Fleming-Viot process is finding an interesting identity for the frequency spectrum of alleles that is based on size-biassing.

The moment dual process in the Fleming-Viot process is the usual Λ-coalescent tree back in time. In the Wright-Fisher representation using a different set of polynomials $g_n(x)$ as test functions produces a dual death process which has a similarity to the Kingman coalescent and decreases by units of one. The eigenvalues of the process are analogous to the Jacobi polynomials when expressed in terms of $g_n(x)$, playing the role of x^n.

Keywords: Λ-coalescent Fleming-Viot process, Wright-Fisher diffusion process

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 60G99, Secondary 92D15

---

[*] Professor R. C. Griffiths, Department of Statistics, University of Oxford, 1 South Parks Rd, Oxford, OX1 3TG, UK; Ph +44 1865 281237; Fax +44 1865 272595; email griff@stats.ox.ac.uk; *Version 1.8*

1. Introduction

The d-dimensional Λ-Fleming-Viot process $\{\boldsymbol{X}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ representing frequencies of d types of individuals in a population has a generator $\mathcal{L}$ acting on bounded continuous functions with second derivatives described by

$$\mathcal{L}g(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^d x_i \big(g(\boldsymbol{x}(1-y) + y\boldsymbol{e}_i) - g(\boldsymbol{x})\big)\frac{\Lambda(dy)}{y^2}. \tag{1}$$

In general Λ is a non-negative finite measure on $[0,1]$. We take a time scale so that $\Lambda \equiv F$ is a probability measure on $[0,1]$. Informally the population is partitioned at events of change by choosing type $i \in \{1,2,\dots,d\}$ to reproduce with probability x_i, then rescaling the population with additional offspring y of type i so that the frequencies are $\boldsymbol{x}(1-y)+y\boldsymbol{e}_i$, at rate $y^{-2}F(dy)$. If F has a single atom at 0, then $\{\boldsymbol{X}_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ is the d-dimensional Wright-Fisher diffusion process with generator

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^d x_i(\delta_{ij} - x_j)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}. \tag{2}$$

The general process $\{X_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ with generator (1) has a diffusive component if $F(0) > 0$ and discontinuous sample paths from jumps where the frequencies are changed by adding mass y to the frequency of a type and rescaling the frequencies. Eventually the process becomes absorbed into one state $\{\boldsymbol{e}_i\}_{i=1}^d$. Eldon and Wakeley (2006) introduced a model where F has a single point of increase in $(0,1]$ with a possible atom at zero as well. A natural class that arises from discrete models is when F has a Beta(α,β) density, particularly a Beta$(2-\alpha,\alpha)$ density coming from a discrete model where the offspring distribution tails are asymptotic to a power law of index α. This α-coalescent model is studied in Schweinsberg (2003); Birkner et al. (2005). Birkner and Blath (2009) describe the Λ-Fleming-Viot process and discrete models whose limit gives rise to the process.

The Λ-coalescent is a random tree back in time which has multiple merger rates for a specific k edges merging while n edges in the tree of

$$\lambda_{nk} = \int_0^1 x^k(1-x)^{n-k}\frac{\Lambda(dx)}{x^2},\ k \geq 2. \tag{3}$$

After coalescence there are $n-k+1$ edges in the tree.

This process was introduced by Pitman (1999); Sagitov (1999) and has been extensively studied (Pitman, 2002; Berestycki , 2009). The coalescent process is a moment dual to the Λ-Fleming-Viot process. See, for example Etheridge (2012). There is a distinction between an untyped coalescent process and a typed process such as in Etheridge et al. (2010).

There is a connection between continuous state branching processes and the Λ-coalescent. For example, see Bertoin and Le Gall (2003, 2006); Birkner et al. (2005); Berestycki, Berestycki, and Limic (2012a,b). The connection is through the Laplace exponent

$$\psi(q) = \int_0^1 \big(e^{-qy} - 1 + qy\big) y^{-2}\Lambda(dy). \tag{4}$$

Bertoin and Le Gall (2006) showed that the Λ-coalescent 'comes down from infinity' under the same condition that the continuous state branching process becomes extinct in finite time, that is when

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{dq}{\psi(q)} dq < \infty.$$

Schweinsberg (2000) proved earlier that coming down from infinity was equivalent to

$$\sum_{n=2}^\infty \left[\sum_{k=2}^n (k-1) \binom{n}{k} \lambda_{nk} \right]^{-1} < \infty.$$

In this paper we express the Λ-Fleming-Viot generator acting on functions as a Wright-Fisher diffusion generator where the argument of the function is replaced by a random linear transformation. For example if $d = 2$ the generator acting on functions of $x_1 = x$ is specified by

$$\mathcal{L}g(x) = \int_0^1 x\big(g(x(1-y)+y) - g(x)\big) + (1-x)\big(g(x(1-y)) - g(x)\big)\frac{F(dy)}{y^2} \tag{5}$$

where $\Lambda = F$, a probability measure. A Wright-Fisher generator equation, identical to (5) is

$$\mathcal{L}g(x) = \frac{1}{2}x(1-x)\mathbb{E}\Big[g''\big(x(1-W) + VW\big)\Big] \tag{6}$$

where $W = UY$, Y has distribution F, U has a density $2u$, $u \in (0, 1)$, V is uniform on $(0, 1)$, and U, V, Y are independent. If $W = 0$ the usual Wright-Fisher generator is obtained. The equation (6) is very suggestive of a strong representation between the Λ-Fleming-Viot and Wright-Fisher processes.

The d-dimensional generator has polynomial eigenvectors and eigenvalues which are analogues of those in the Wright-Fisher generator. The eigenvalues are

$$\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)\mathbb{E}\Big[(1-W)^{n-2}\Big],\ n=2,3,\dots$$

which are equal to the Λ-coalescent total merger rates from n blocks. If $d=2$ the polynomial eigenvectors are analogues of the Jacobi polynomials.

The two-dimensional process is considered in detail in this paper. An equation is found for the stationary distribution when there is mutation. This leads to an interesting equation for the frequency spectrum in the infinitely-many-alleles Λ-Fleming-Viot model. Let $\beta(z)$ be the frequency spectrum, then $z\beta(z)$ is a probability density. Let Z be a random variable with this density, Z_* a random variable size-biassed with repect to Z and Z^* a random variable size-biassed with respect to $1-Z$. Then

$$VZ_* =^{\mathcal{D}} (1-W)Z^* + VW, \tag{7}$$

where the random variables are independent of each other. The left side is the limit distribution of excess life in a renewal process with increments distributed as Z, so the equation suggests a renewal process. We do not have a probabilistic solution of (7) which would possibly lead to knowing $\beta(z)$.

In a two-dimensional process with no mutation, and genic selection, we obtain a computational solution for the probability of fixation of a type that is analogous to that in the Wright-Fisher model.

The moment dual process in the Fleming-Viot process is the usual Λ-coalescent back in time. In the Wright-Fisher representation using a different set of polynomials $g_n(x)$ as test functions produces a dual death process which has a similarity to the Kingman coalescent and decreases by units of one. The d-dimensional version $g_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{x})$ analogous to $\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$ has an expectation in the stationary distribution of a model with parent independent mutation that is similar to a Dirichlet moment

$$\mathbb{E}\big[g_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{x})\big] = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{d}\Big[\prod_{j=1}^{n_i}\big((j-1)\mathbb{E}\big[(1-W)^{j-2}\big]+\theta_i\big)\Big]}{\prod_{j=1}^{n}\big((j-1)\mathbb{E}\big[(1-W)^{j-2}\big]+\theta\big)}.$$

There is even an analogue of the Ewens' sampling formula in the Poisson Dirichlet process of

$$\frac{n!\theta^k}{n_1\cdots n_k}\cdot\frac{\prod_{i=1}^{k}\Big[\prod_{j=2}^{n_i}\mathbb{E}\big[(1-W)^{j-2}\big]\Big]}{\prod_{j=1}^{n}\Big[(j-1)\mathbb{E}\big[(1-W)^{j-2}\big]+\theta\Big]}.$$

There are many intriguing analogues between the Λ-Fleming-Viot process and the Wright-Fisher diffusion process which come from the generator representation. Exact calculations are likely to be difficult because of the jump process nature of the Λ-Fleming-Viot process.

2. A Wright-Fisher generator connection

The Λ-Fleming-Viot generator has an interesting connection with a Wright-Fisher diffusion generator that we now develop. A lemma that details how the generator acts on $\exp\{\sum_{j=1}^d \phi_j x_j\}$ for $\boldsymbol{\phi} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is helpful.

Lemma 1. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the Λ-Fleming-Viot generator (1), then*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}e^{\sum_j \phi_j x_j} &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^d x_i\phi_i\Big(-\sum_{j\neq i}\phi_j x_j + (1-x_i)\phi_i\Big)\\ &\qquad\times\int_0^1\int_0^1\int_0^1 e^{\sum_j \phi_j x_j(1-w)+\phi_i wv}dv2uduF(dy).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Rearrange terms as integral expressions appearing in the generator acting on $e^{\sum_j \phi_j x_j}$.

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i=1}^d x_i\Big[e^{\sum_j \phi_j x_j(1-y)+y\phi_i} - e^{\sum_j \phi_j x_j}\Big]\\ &= y\sum_{i=1}^d x_i\Big(-\sum_j \phi_j x_j + \phi_i\Big)e^{\sum_j \phi_j x_j}\int_0^1 e^{\Big(-\sum_j \phi_j x_j+\phi_i\Big)yu}du\\ &= y\sum_{i=1}^d x_i\Big(-\sum_j \phi_j x_j + \phi_i\Big)e^{\sum_j \phi_j x_j}\int_0^1 e^{\Big(-\sum_j \phi_j x_j\Big)yu}\Big(e^{\phi_i yu}-1\Big)du\\ &= y^2\sum_{i=1}^d x_i\Big(-\sum_j \phi_j x_j + \phi_i\Big)\phi_i e^{\sum_j \phi_j x_j}\int_0^1 e^{\Big(-\sum_j \phi_j x_j\Big)yu}\int_0^1 e^{\phi_i yuv}dvudu\\ &= \frac{y^2}{2}\sum_{i=1}^d x_i\phi_i\Big(-\sum_{j\neq i}\phi_j x_j + (1-x_i)\phi_i\Big)\int_0^1\int_0^1 e^{\sum_j \phi_j x_j(1-yu)+\phi_i yuv}dv2udu\end{aligned}$$

The lemma now follows by multiplying by $F(dy)/y^2$ and integrating.

$\square$

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the Λ-Fleming-Viot generator (1), V be a uniform random variable on $[0,1]$, U a random variable on $[0,1]$ with density $2u$, $0 < u < 1$ and $W = YU$, where Y has distribution F and V, U, Y are independent. Denote the first and second derivatives of a function $g(\boldsymbol{x})$ by*

$$g_i(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} g(\boldsymbol{x}),\ g_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} g(\boldsymbol{x}).$$

Then

$$\mathcal{L}g(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{d} x_i(\delta_{ij} - x_j)\mathbb{E}\Big[g_{ij}\big(\boldsymbol{x}(1-W) + WV\boldsymbol{e}_i\big)\Big], \tag{8}$$

where expectation $\mathbb{E}$ is taken over V, W. An alternative to (8) is

$$\mathcal{L}g(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{d} x_i(\delta_{ij} - x_j)\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{g_j\big(\boldsymbol{x}(1-W) + W\boldsymbol{e}_i\big) - g_j\big(\boldsymbol{x}(1-W)\big)}{W}\right]. \tag{9}$$

Proof. It is enough to check that (8) is true for $g(\boldsymbol{x}) = \exp\big\{\sum_j \phi_j x_j\big\}$ for $\boldsymbol{\phi} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Checking:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{d} x_i(\delta_{ij} - x_j)\phi_i\phi_j\mathbb{E}\Big[e^{\sum_j \phi_j x_j(1-W) + WV\boldsymbol{e}_i}\Big] \\ = \ & \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{d} x_i\phi_i\Big(-\sum_{j\neq i}\phi_j x_j + (1-x_i)\phi_i\Big)\mathbb{E}\Big[e^{\sum_j \phi_j x_j(1-W) + WV\boldsymbol{e}_i}\Big] \end{aligned}$$

which agrees with (8) by Lemma 1. Taking the expectation with respect to V in (8) gives (9).

$\square$

The Wright-Fisher generator (2) is included in (8) when $W \equiv 0$.

Corollary 1. *$\{X_1(t)\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a Markov process with generator specified by*

$$\mathcal{L}g(x) = \frac{1}{2}x(1-x)\mathbb{E}\Big[g''\big(x(1-W) + WV\big)\Big]. \tag{10}$$

Proof Let $g(\boldsymbol{x})$ in (8) be a function of the first co-ordinate only, then (10) follows easily, with $x \equiv x_1$.

$\square$

The Laplace transform of $W$is related to the Laplace exponent (4) by

$$\mathbb{E}\big[e^{-qW}\big] = 2q^{-2}\psi(q).$$

W possibly has an atom at 0

$$P(W = 0) = P(Y = 0)$$

and is continuous for $W > 0$ with a density

$$f_W(w) = 2wF^+(w), \tag{11}$$

where

$$F^+(w) = \int_w^1 y^{-2}F(dy).$$

There is a correspondence between F and the distribution of W. Given a random variable W with a possible atom at 0 and a density $f_W(w)$, $0 < w \leq 1$, then there exists independent random variables U, Y, where U has density $2u$, $0 < u < 1$ such that $W = YU$ if and only if $f_W(1) = 0$ and $f(w)/w$ is decreasing in $(0, 1]$. Potential densities for the continuous component of W are proportional to the Beta (α, β) densities with $\alpha \leq 2$ and $\beta \geq 1$.

2.1. Mutation and selection

Mutation can be added to the model by assuming that mutations occur at rate $\theta/2$ and changes of type i to type j are made according to a transition matrix P. This is equivalent to mutations occurring at rate $\theta/2$ on the dual Λ-coalescent tree. The generator (1) then has an additional term added of

$$\frac{\theta}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{d}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{ji}x_j - x_i\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}.$$

If mutation is parent independent $\theta p_{ji} = \theta_i$, not depending on j, and the additional term simplifies to

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{d}\Big(\theta_i - \theta x_i\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}. \tag{12}$$

Etheridge et al. (2010) study a Λ-Fleming-Viot process with viability selection whose generator takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}g(x) \;=\;& \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^{d} x_i\big(g(\boldsymbol{x}(1-y)+y\boldsymbol{e}_i) - g(\boldsymbol{x})\big)\frac{F(dy)}{y^2}\\ &- \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^{d} x_i\big(g(\boldsymbol{x}(1-y)+y\boldsymbol{e}_i) - g(\boldsymbol{x})\big)\frac{K_i(dy)}{y}\\ &+ \frac{\theta}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{d}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{ji}x_j - x_i\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}g(\boldsymbol{x}).\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

To describe the measures in (13) let G_i, $i \in [d]$ be the Λ-measures for the individual types, which are positive measures on $[0,1]$ and F be a reference measure such that

$$K_i(dy) = \frac{F(dy) - G_i(dy)}{y}$$

are bounded signed measures on $[0,1]$. A very weak selection model analogous to the Wright-Fisher model with genic selection is obtained by taking

$$K_i(\cdot) = \sigma_i\delta_\epsilon(\cdot),$$

and letting $\epsilon \to 0+$. Then the corresponding sequence of generators converges to

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^\sigma g(x) \;=\;& \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^{d} x_i\big(g(\boldsymbol{x}(1-y)+y\boldsymbol{e}_i) - g(\boldsymbol{x})\big)\frac{F(dy)}{y^2}\\ &- \sum_{i=1}^{d} x_i\big(\sigma_i - \sum_{k=1}^{d}\sigma_k x_k\big)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}g(\boldsymbol{x})\\ &+ \frac{\theta}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{d}\big(\sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{ji}x_j - x_i\big)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}g(\boldsymbol{x}).\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Etheridge et al. (2010) find the dual Lambda-coalescent corresponding to (13) and(14).

2.2. Fixation probability with selection when $d = 2$ types

If there are $d = 2$ types, no mutation, $X = X_1$, $\sigma_1 = \sigma$, $\sigma_2 = 0$, then the generator (14) reduces to

$$\mathcal{L}^\sigma g(x) = \frac{1}{2}x(1-x)\mathbb{E}\Big[g''(x(1-W)+WV)\Big] - \sigma x(1-x)g'(x)$$

Let $P(x)$ be the probability that the first type fixes, starting from an initial frequency of x. Then $P(0) = 0$, $P(1) = 1$, and $P(x)$ is the solution of

$$\mathcal{L}^\sigma P(x) = 0.$$

That is

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\Big[P''(x(1-W)+WV)\Big] = \sigma P'(x), \tag{15}$$

or taking the expectation with respect to V,

$$\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{P'\big(x(1-W)+W\big) - P'\big(x(1-W)\big)}{W}\right] = \sigma P'(x). \tag{16}$$

Alison Etheridge and Jay Taylor have obtained an equivalent formula to (16) in the Beta coalescent using integration by parts, private communication (2008). Der, Epstein and Plotkin (2011, 2012) study fixation probabilities in the Λ-coalescent. An interesting feature is that for some Λ-measures and σ it can happen that $P(x) = 1$ or $P(x) = 0$ for all $x \in (0,1)$. Let $\sigma > 0$, then they show that fixation is certain if

$$\sigma > \sigma^* = -\int_0^1 \frac{\log(1-y)}{y^2}F(dy).$$

In the Kingman coalescent (15) becomes

$$\frac{1}{2}P''(x) = \sigma P'(x)$$

with a solution

$$P(x) = \frac{e^{2\sigma x} - 1}{e^{2\sigma} - 1}.$$

We provide a computational solution for $P(x)$ when fixation or loss is not certain from $x \in (0,1)$. A sequence of polynomials $\{h_n(x)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ that is used in the proof is defined as the solutions of

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{h_n\big(x(1-W)+W\big) - h_n\big(x(1-W)\big)}{W}\right] = nh_{n-1}(x), \tag{17}$$

where the leading coefficient in $h_n(x)$ is

$$\frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{E}\big[(1-W)^j\big]}. \tag{18}$$

The argument in the expectation in (17) is intrepreted as $h_n'(x)$ at $W=0$. The polynomial solutions to (17) are only determined up to an arbitrary sequence of constant coefficients in the polynomials that are then chosen carefully to obtain a solution for the fixation probability. The polynomials $h_n(x)$ imitate x^n and are equal if $W \equiv 0$. Let $h_0(x) = 1$, and

$$h_n(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} a_{nr} x^r.$$

Then from (17) for $j = n-2, \dots, 0$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{r=j+1}^{n} \binom{r}{j} \mathbb{E}\Big[(1-W)^j W^{r-j-1}\Big] a_{nr} x^j = n \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_{n-1j} x^j,$$

so equating coefficients of x^j on both sides,

$$\sum_{r=j+1}^{n} \binom{r}{j} \mathbb{E}\Big[(1-W)^j W^{r-j-1}\Big] a_{nr} = n a_{n-1j}. \tag{19}$$

Given the coefficients $\{a_{n-1j}\}_{j=0}^{n-1}$ of $h_{n-1}(x)$ the coefficients of $h_n(x)$, $\{a_{nj}\}_{j=1}^{n}$ are recursively determined by choosing a_{nn} from (18), then taking $j = n-1, \dots, 0$ in (19). There is an arbitrary choice of a_{n0} that needs to be made at this stage to progress with the recursion.

Theorem 2. *Let $\sigma < \sigma*$. The fixation probability*

$$P(x) = \big(e^{2\sigma} - 1\big)^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2\sigma)^n}{n!} H_n(x),$$

where $\{H_n(x)\}$ are polynomials derived from

$$H_n(x) = \int_0^x n h_{n-1}(\xi) d\xi$$

with the constants $\{h_n(0)\}$ *chosen so that*

$$\int_0^1 n h_{n-1}(\xi) d\xi = 1. \tag{20}$$

Proof. Try a series solution

$$P'(x) = B(\sigma) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2\sigma)^n c_n h_{n-1}(x), \tag{21}$$

where $\{h_n(x)\}$ satisfies (17), $B(\sigma)$ is a constant, and $\{c_n\}$ are constants not depending on σ. Then substituting in (16)

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (2\sigma)^n c_n (n-1) h_{n-2}(x) = (2\sigma) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2\sigma)^n c_n h_{n-1}(x).$$

This identity is satisfied if $c_1 = 1$, without loss of generality, and

$$c_n = \frac{1}{(n-1)!},\ n = 2, 3, \ldots$$

Integrating in (21)

$$P(x) = B(\sigma) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2\sigma)^n}{n!} \int_0^x n h_{n-1}(\xi) d\xi.$$

Choosing (20) to hold and knowing $P(1) = 1$ shows that

$$B(\sigma) = \left(e^{2\sigma} - 1\right)^{-1}.$$

□

Corollary 2. *A computational solution for* $P(x)$ *is found from evaluating the polynomials*

$$H_n(x) = \sum_{r=1}^{n} b_{nr} x^r,$$

where $H_1(x) = x$ *and the coefficients* $\{b_{nr}\}$ *are defined recursively from*

$$\sum_{r=j}^{n} \binom{r}{j-1} \mathbb{E}\Big[(1-W)^{j-1}W^{r-j}\Big](r+1)b_{n+1r+1} = (n+1)jb_{nj},$$

with

$$b_{n+11} = 1 - \sum_{j=2}^{n+1} b_{n+1j}$$

for $n = 1, 2, \ldots,$ $j = n-1, \ldots, 1.$

Proof. Relating the coefficients of $H_n(x)$ to those of $h_{n-1}(x)$

$$b_{nj} = \frac{n}{j}a_{n-1j-1},\ j = 2, \ldots, n$$

and

$$b_{n1} = 1 - \sum_{j=2}^{n} b_{nj}.$$

Substituting in (19) and shifting the index $j \to j+1$ completes the proof.

$\square$

2.3. Eigenstructure of the Λ-Fleming-Viot process

The generator of the Λ-Fleming-Viot process (8) with mutation term (12)

$$\mathcal{L}g(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{d} x_i(\delta_{ij} - x_j)\mathbb{E}\Big[g_{ij}\big(\boldsymbol{x}(1-W) + WV\boldsymbol{e}_i\big)\Big] + \frac{\theta}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{d}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{ji}x_j - x_i\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \tag{22}$$

maps d-dimensional polynomials into polynomials of the same degree, so the right eigenvectors $\{P_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{x})\}$ with eigenvalues $-\lambda_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ are polynomials of the same degree satisfying

$$\mathcal{L}P_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = -\lambda_{\boldsymbol{n}}P_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{x}). \tag{23}$$

The index $\boldsymbol{n}$ is $d-1$ dimensional because of the constraint that $\sum_1^d x_j = 1$.

Theorem 3. *Let* $\{\lambda_{\boldsymbol{n}}\}$, $\{P_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{x})\}$ *be the eigenvalues and right eigenvectors of* $\mathcal{L}$, *(22), satisfying (23). Denote the* $d-1$ *eigenvalues of* P *which have* *modulus less than 1 by* $\{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^{d-1}$ *corresponding to eigenvectors which are rows of a* $d-1 \times d$ *matrix* R *satisfying*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d} r_{ki}p_{ji} = \phi_k r_{kj},\ k = 1,\dots,d-1.$$

Define a $d-1$ *dimensional vector* $\boldsymbol{\xi} = R\boldsymbol{x}$*. Then the polynomials* $P_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{x})$ *are polynomials in* $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ *whose only leading term of degree* n *is* $\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$ *and*

$$\lambda_{\boldsymbol{n}} = \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)\mathbb{E}\Big[(1-W)^{n-2}\Big] + \frac{\theta}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{d-1}(1-\phi_k)n_k. \tag{24}$$

Proof. The second order derivative term in $\mathcal{L}$ acting on $\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{m}}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j=1}^{d} x_i x_j \mathbb{E}\Big[(1-W)^{m-2}\Big] m_i(m_j - \delta_{ij})\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{m}-\boldsymbol{e}_i-\boldsymbol{e}_j} + \text{ lower order terms} \\ = -\frac{1}{2}m(m-1)\mathbb{E}\Big[(1-W)^{m-2}\Big]\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{m}} + \text{ lower order terms.} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the same term acting on $\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$ with $m = n$ is

$$-\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)\mathbb{E}\Big[(1-W)^{n-2}\Big]\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\boldsymbol{n}} + \text{ lower order terms in } \boldsymbol{\xi}. \tag{25}$$

The linear differential term acting on $\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\theta}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{d}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{ji}x_j - x_i\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\boldsymbol{n}} \\ = \ & \frac{\theta}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{d-1}\sum_{i=1}^{d}\Big(\sum_{j=1}^{d} p_{ji}x_j - x_i\Big)n_k r_{ki}\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\boldsymbol{n}-\boldsymbol{e}_k} \\ = \ & -\frac{\theta}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{d-1}(1-\phi_k)n_k\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\boldsymbol{n}}. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

(25) and (26) are enough to complete the proof of (24). Suppose we have constructed $\{P_{\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{x})\}_{m<n}$. Then take

$$P_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\boldsymbol{n}} - \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}:m<n} a_{\boldsymbol{n}\boldsymbol{m}}P_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{x})$$

where the coefficients are to be determined.

$$\mathcal{L}P_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = -\lambda_{\boldsymbol{n}}P_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}:m<n} b_{\boldsymbol{nm}}P_{\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \sum_{\boldsymbol{m}:m<n} a_{\boldsymbol{nm}}\lambda_{\boldsymbol{m}}P_{\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{x})$$

for determined constants $b_{\boldsymbol{nm}}$. Choosing $a_{\boldsymbol{nm}}\lambda_{\boldsymbol{m}} = b_{\boldsymbol{nm}}$ completes the construction.

□

Corollary 3. *The generator (10) with no mutation term has eigenvalues*

$$\lambda_{\boldsymbol{n}} = \lambda_n = \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)\mathbb{E}\Big[(1-W)^{n-2}\Big]$$

repeated $\binom{n+d-2}{n}$ *times and eigenfunctions* $\{P_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{x})\}_{n\geq 2}$.

□

Corollary 4. *In the parent independent model of mutation the generator has eigevalues*

$$\lambda_{\boldsymbol{n}} = \lambda_n = \frac{1}{2}n\left\{(n-1)\mathbb{E}\Big[(1-W)^{n-2}\Big] + \theta\right\} \tag{27}$$

repeated $\binom{n+d-2}{n}$ *times and eigenfunctions* $\{P_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{x})\}_{n\geq 1}$.

Proof. The transition matrix P has rows $(\theta_1/\theta, \dots, \theta_d/\theta)$. The right eigenvectors of P are one vector of units with eigenvalue 1, and $d-1$ other vectors such that $\sum_{i=1}^d r_{ki}\theta_i/\theta = 0$. Thus $\phi_k = 0, k = 1, \dots, d-1$ and $\lambda_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ is equal to (27).

□

A calculation shows that

$$\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)\mathbb{E}\Big[(1-W)^{n-2}\Big] = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\binom{n}{k}\lambda_{nk}, \tag{28}$$

which might be expected because the Λ-coalescent is a death process with eigenvalues the total rates away from states. A sketch of the calculation is that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)\mathbb{E}\Big[(1-W)^{n-2}\Big] &= \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)\int_0^1\int_0^1 (1-uy)^{n-2}2uF(dy) \\
&= \int_0^1\int_0^1 u\frac{d^2}{du^2}(1-uy)^n\frac{F(dy)}{y^2} \\
&= \int_0^1\Big[1-(1-y)^n-ny(1-y)^{n-1}\Big]\frac{F(dy)}{y^2} \\
&= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty}\binom{n}{k}\lambda_{nk}.
\end{aligned}$$

The individual rates (3) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
\binom{n}{k}\lambda_{nk} &= \binom{n}{k}\int_0^1 y^k(1-y)^{n-k}\frac{F(dy)}{y^2} \\
&= \frac{n}{2}\mathbb{E}\Bigg[\frac{P_k(n,W)-P_{k-1}(n,W)}{W^2}\Bigg], \qquad (29)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$P_k(n,w) = \binom{n-1}{k-1}(1-w)^{n-k}w^k$$

is a negative binomial probability of a waiting time of n trials to obtain k successes, where the success probability is w. The difference

$$P_k(n,w)-P_{k-1}(n,w)$$

is not necessarily positive for all $w \in [0,1]$.

In two dimensions the generator is specified by

$$\mathcal{L}g(x) = \frac{1}{2}x(1-x)\mathbb{E}\Big[g''\big(x(1-W)+WV\big)\Big]+\frac{1}{2}(\theta_1-\theta x)g'(x). \qquad (30)$$

The eigenvalues are

$$\lambda_n = \frac{1}{2}n\left\{(n-1)\mathbb{E}\Big[(1-W)^{n-2}\Big]+\theta\right\}$$

and the eigenvectors are polynomials satisfying

$$\mathcal{L}P_n(x) = -\lambda_nP_n(x),\ n\geq 1.$$

The eigenvalues and polynomials do not depend on W, V for $n = 1, 2$.

Writing the eigenvalue equation as

$$\begin{aligned} x(1-x)\mathbb{E}\big[P_n''\big(x(1-W)+VW\big)\big] + (\theta_1 - \theta x)P_n'(x) \\ - n\big\{(n-1)\mathbb{E}\big[(1-W)^{n-2}\big] + \theta\big\}P_n(x) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

there is a similarity to the hypergeometric equation for the Jacobi polynomials when $W \equiv 0$.

2.4. Stationary distributions

If the mutation matrix P is recurrent, then there is a stationary distribution for the process with generator (22). Notice that the first and second order moments do not depend on W. In particular, for the parent independent model of mutation, comparing moments with those of the Dirichlet ($\boldsymbol{\theta}$) distribution we have that for $i, j = 0, 1, \dots, d$

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[X_i\Big] = \frac{\theta_i}{\theta} \text{ and } \mathbb{E}\Big[X_iX_j\Big] = \frac{\theta_i(\theta_j + \delta_{ij})}{\theta(\theta+1)},$$

where expectation is in the stationary distribution.

Consider the simplest case, the stationary distribution in 2 dimensions when the generator is (30). Let $g(x) = x^{n+2}$, then since $\mathbb{E}\Big[\mathcal{L}g(X)\Big] = 0$ with expectation in the stationary distribution

$$\frac{(n+2)(n+1)}{2}\mathbb{E}\Big[\Big(X(1-W)+WV\Big)^n X(1-X)\Big] + \frac{n+2}{2}\mathbb{E}\Big[X^nX(\theta_1 - \theta X)\Big] = 0$$

or

$$\frac{\theta}{n+1}\mathbb{E}\Big[X^nX^2\Big] = \frac{\theta_1}{n+1}\mathbb{E}\Big[X^nX\Big] + \mathbb{E}\Big[\Big(X(1-W)+WV\Big)^n X(1-X)\Big]. \quad (31)$$

Let Z be a random variable with the size-biassed distribution of X, Z_* a size-biassed Z random variable and Z^* a size-biassed random variable with respect to $1 - Z$. Then knowing that

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[X\Big] = \frac{\theta_1}{\theta},\ \mathbb{E}\Big[X^2\Big] = \frac{\theta_1(\theta_1+1)}{\theta(\theta+1)},\ \mathbb{E}\Big[X(1-X)\Big] = \frac{\theta_1(\theta-\theta_1)}{\theta(\theta+1)}$$

(31) can be written as

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[(VZ_*)^n\Big] = \frac{\theta_1(\theta+1)}{\theta(\theta_1+1)}\mathbb{E}\Big[(VZ)^n\Big] + \frac{\theta-\theta_1}{\theta(\theta_1+1)}\mathbb{E}\Big[\Big(Z^*(1-W)+WV\Big)^n\Big]. \quad (32)$$

Let B be Bernoulli random variable, independent of the other random variables in the following equation such that

$$P(B=1)=\frac{\theta_2}{\theta(\theta_1+1)},$$

then (32) implies the interesting distributional identity

$$VZ_* =^{\mathcal{D}} \big(1-B\big)VZ + B\big(Z^*(1-W)+WV\big). \tag{33}$$

This equation may be related to a renewal process, because the distribution of excess life γ_t in a renewal process with increments distributed as Z satisfies

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} P(\gamma_t>\eta) = P(VZ_*>\eta) = \int_\eta^1 \frac{P(Z>z)}{\mathbb{E}[Z]}dz,$$

where $\mathbb{E}\big[Z\big]=\theta_1/\theta$.

The identity (33) implies an identity for the densities of the random variables in the equation that is now calculated. Let the random line $L = Z^*(1-W)+WV$. The line segment L varies from $\min(Z^*,V)$ to $\max(Z^*,V)$ as W varies. The density of the line L conditional on $(Z^*,V)=(z,v)$ is, for $\min(z,v)<u<\max(z,v)$,

$$f_{L|(z,v)}(u)=\frac{1}{|z-v|}f_W\Big(\frac{z-u}{z-v}\Big)$$

and there is a possible atom

$$P\big(L=z \mid (z,v)\big)=P(W=0).$$

Splitting the region by $v<z$ and $v>z$, the unconditional density of L is

$$\begin{aligned} f_L(u) &= P(W=0)f_{Z^*}(u) \\ &\quad+\int_{0<v<u<z<1}\frac{1}{z-v}f_W\Big(\frac{z-u}{z-v}\Big)f_{Z^*}(z)dzdv \\ &\quad+\int_{0<z<u<v<1}\frac{1}{v-z}f_W\Big(\frac{u-z}{v-z}\Big)f_{Z^*}(z)dzdv \end{aligned}$$

Changing variables in the integral

$$\begin{aligned} f_L(u) &= P(W=0)f_{Z^*}(u) \\ &\quad+\int_0^u\int_{1-\frac{1-u}{1-z}}^1 \frac{1}{\xi}f_W(\xi)d\xi\; f_{Z^*}(z)dz \\ &\quad+\int_u^1\int_{1-\frac{u}{z}}^1 \frac{1}{\xi}f_W(\xi)d\xi\; f_{Z^*}(z)dz. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

The density identity equivalent to the identity (33) is therefore

$$f_{VZ_*}(u) = P(B=0)f_{VZ}(u) + P(B=1)f_L(u). \tag{35}$$

Note that if ζ is a random variable on $[0,1]$ with density $f_\zeta(y)$ then the density of $V\zeta$, where V is independent of ζ and uniform on $[0,1]$ is

$$f_{V\zeta}(u) = \int_u^1 y^{-1} f_\zeta(y)dy.$$

Therefore (35) is equivalent to

$$\frac{\int_u^1 y f_X(y)dy}{\mathbb{E}\big[X^2\big]} = P(B=0)\frac{\int_u^1 f_X(y)dy}{\mathbb{E}\big[X\big]} + P(B=1)f_L(u). \tag{36}$$

Differentiating (36) the density $f_X(u)$ satisfies the integral equation

$$uf_X(u) = \frac{\theta_1}{\theta}f_X(u) - \frac{1}{\theta}f'_{\diamond L}(u), \tag{37}$$

where

$$f_{\diamond L} = \mathbb{E}\Big[X(1-X)\Big]f_L.$$

$f_{\diamond L}$ is similar to (34) with $f_{Z_*}(z)$ replaced by $z(1-z)f_X(z)$. A straightforward calculation gives that when W has no atom at zero

$$\begin{aligned} f'_{\diamond L}(u) &= -\int_0^u \frac{1}{u-z} f_W\big(1 - \frac{1-u}{1-z}\big) z(1-z)f_X(z)dz \\ &\quad + \int_u^1 \frac{1}{z-u} f_W\big(1-\frac{u}{z}\big) z(1-z) f_X(z)dz. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling (11) another form is

$$\begin{aligned} f'_{\diamond L}(u) &= -\int_0^u F^+\Big(1 - \frac{1-u}{1-z}\Big) z f_X(z)dz \\ &\quad + \int_u^1 F^+\Big(1-\frac{u}{z}\Big)(1-z)f_X(z)dz. \end{aligned}$$

Considering $1-X$ a second integral equation is

$$(1-u)f_X(u) = \frac{\theta_2}{\theta}f_X(u) + \frac{1}{\theta}f'_{\diamond L}(u).$$

If $\theta_1 = \theta_2$ then $f_X(z)$ is symmetric about $z = 1/2$, and (37) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\big(u - \frac{1}{2}\big) f_X(u) &= \frac{1}{\theta}\int_0^u F^+ \frac{1}{\theta}\Big(1 - \frac{1-u}{1-z}\Big) z f_X(z) dz \\ &\quad - \int_0^{1-u} F^+\Big(1 - \frac{u}{1-z}\Big) z f_X(z) dz.\end{aligned}$$

Another approach that imitates the usual way of finding the stationary distribution in a diffusion process is to consider the equation

$$\int_0^1 \mathcal{L} g(x) f_X(x)(x) dx = 0, \tag{38}$$

where $g(x)$ is a test function. Denote $\sigma^2(x) = x(1-x)$, $\mu(x) = \theta_1 - \theta x - \sigma x(1-x)$ and let

$$k(x) = \mathbb{E}\Big[(1-W)^{-2} g\big(x(1-W) + VW\big)\Big].$$

Equation (38) is equivalent to

$$\int_0^1 \Big[\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(x)\frac{d^2}{dx^2}k(x) + \mu(x)\frac{d}{dx}g(x)\Big] f_X(x) dx = 0. \tag{39}$$

Integrating by parts in (39) and taking care with boundary conditions gives that

$$\begin{aligned}0 = &\int_0^1 \Big[k(x)\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\big[\sigma^2(x) f_X(x)\big] - g(x)\frac{d}{dx}\big[\mu(x) f_X(x)\big]\Big] dx \\ &+ \Bigg[\big[\frac{d}{dx}k(x)\big]\big[\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(x) f_X(x)\big] - k(x)\frac{d}{dx}\big[\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(x) f_X(x)\big] + g(x)\mu(x) f_X(x)\Bigg]_0^1.\end{aligned}$$

If $W \equiv 0$ then $k(x) = g(x)$ and we can conclude that $f_X(x)$ satisfies the forward equation,

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\big[\sigma^2(x) f_X(x)\big] - \frac{d}{dx}\big[\mu(x) f_X(x)\big] = 0.$$

An equivalent approach seems difficult when $k(x) \neq g(x)$.

A probabilistic approach

Consider two types with total mutation rate $\theta = \theta_1 + \theta_2$. Suppose the total jump rate is

$$\lambda = \int_0^1 \frac{F(dy)}{y^2} < \infty.$$

Let $\mu(t,x)$ be deterministic change between jumps, beginning at frequency x, which satisfies the differential equation

$$\frac{d\mu(t,x)}{dt} = \theta_1 - \theta\mu(t,x),$$

with $\mu(0,x) = x$. That is

$$\mu(t,x) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\theta t}x + (1 - e^{-\frac{1}{2}\theta t})p,$$

where $p = \theta_1/\theta$.

In what follows the precise form of $\mu(t,x)$ is not used explicitly, so for example, a model with mutation and selection so that

$$\frac{d\mu(t,x)}{dt} = \theta_1 - \theta\mu(t,x) - \sigma\mu(t,x)\bigl(1 - \mu(t,x)\bigr)$$

is also possible.

The Laplace transform of the frequency just after the first jump is

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t}\int_0^1 \Bigl[\mu(t,x)e^{-\phi(\mu(t,x)(1-y)+y)} + (1-\mu(t,x))e^{-\phi\mu(t,x)(1-y)}\Bigr]dt\frac{F(dy)}{y^2},$$

which is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t}\int_0^1 \Bigl[\mu(t,x)e^{-\phi(\mu(t,x)(1-y)+y)} + (1-\mu(t,x))e^{-\phi\mu(t,x)(1-y)} - e^{-\phi\mu(t,x)}\Bigr]dt\frac{F(dy)}{y^2}\\ &+\int_0^\infty \lambda e^{-\lambda t}e^{-\phi\mu(t,x)}dt \qquad (40)\end{aligned}$$

Equation (40) can be written

$$\frac{\phi^2}{2\lambda}\mathbb{E}\Bigl[\mu(T,x)\bigl(1-\mu(T,x)\bigr)e^{-\phi[\mu(T,x)(1-W)+VW]}\Bigr] + \mathbb{E}\Bigl[e^{-\phi\mu(T,x)}\Bigr],$$

where T has an exponential (λ) distribution. Suppose that there is a stationary distribution of frequencies just after jumps. Let X° be a random variable with this distribution. X° has a stationary distribution in a process where

$$x \to \begin{cases} \mu(T,x)(1-Y^\circ)+Y^\circ & \text{with probability } \mu(T,x) \\ \mu(T,x)(1-Y^\circ) & \text{with probability } 1-\mu(T,x) \end{cases},$$

with Y° having distribution $\lambda^{-1}y^{-2}F(dy)$. The Laplace transform of X° satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[e^{-\phi X^\circ}\Big] = \frac{\phi^2}{2\lambda}\mathbb{E}\Big[\mu(T,X^\circ)\big(1-\mu(T,X^\circ)\big)e^{-\phi[\mu(T,X^\circ)(1-W)+VW]}\Big]+\mathbb{E}\Big[e^{-\phi\mu(T,X^\circ)}\Big].$$

This is equivalent to

$$\lambda\Bigg[\mathbb{E}\Big[e^{-\phi X^\circ}\Big]-\mathbb{E}\Big[e^{-\phi\mu(T,X^\circ)}\Big]\Bigg] = \frac{\phi^2}{2}\mathbb{E}\Big[\mu(T,X^\circ)\big(1-\mu(T,X^\circ)\big)e^{-\phi[\mu(T,X^\circ)(1-W)+VW]}\Big] \tag{41}$$

Now consider a process where $\lambda=\infty$, and think of $\lambda\to\infty$ to achieve this. In (41) $T\to 0$, while $\lambda T=\tau$ an exponential 1 random variable. As $T\to 0$, $\mu(x,T)\to x$, and we have a limit of (41) in a model with just mutation as

$$0 = \frac{\phi^2}{2}\mathbb{E}\Big[X(1-X)e^{-\phi[X(1-W)+VW]}\Big] - \frac{1}{2}\theta\phi\mathbb{E}\Big[e^{-\phi X}(p-X)\Big],$$

which is equivalent to

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\mathcal{L}e^{-\phi X}\Big]=0$$

in the generator equation. X° is replaced by X, with a stationary distribution of the process with generator $\mathcal{L}$.

Turning back to the jump process when $\lambda<\infty$ consider a renewal process formed from the independent exponential times $T_1,T_2,\ldots$ between jumps. Let $S_\alpha = T_1+\cdots T_\alpha$, $\alpha=1,2,\ldots$. A standard renewal theory result is that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\Big[t-\max\{S_\alpha<t\}\Big]$$

has a probability density

$$\frac{P(T>\tau)}{\mathbb{E}[T]}.$$

In our context this density is identical to the exponential λ density. Between the last jump and a random time $T_\diamond$ distributed with this density the frequency changes deterministically. Therefore we have an identity

$$X =^{\mathcal{D}} \mu(T_\diamond, X^\circ).$$

2.5. Green's function

The Green's function, whether there is mutation or not, is obtained in a standard approach by solving, for $\gamma(x)$, the differential equation

$$\mathcal{L}\gamma(x) = -g(x),\ \ \gamma(0) = \gamma(1) = 0. \tag{42}$$

Then

$$\gamma(x) = \int_0^1 G(x,\xi)g(\xi)d\xi.$$

Equation (42) is non-linear, equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{2}x(1-x)\mathbb{E}\Big[\gamma''(x(1-W)+VW)\Big] + \frac{1}{2}(\theta_1 - \theta x)\gamma'(x) = -g(x),$$

or

$$\frac{1}{2}x(1-x)k''(x) + \frac{1}{2}(\theta_1 - \theta x)\gamma'(x) = -g(x), \tag{43}$$

where

$$k(x) = \mathbb{E}\Big[(1-W)^{-2}\gamma\big(x(1-W)+VW\big)\Big].$$

In the simplest case when $\theta = 0$, (43) becomes

$$k''(x) = -2\frac{g(x)}{x(1-x)}.$$

Taking a standard Green's function approach, with care that $k(0), k(1)$ are not zero,

$$\begin{aligned} k(x) \quad &= \quad k(0)(1-x) + k(1)x \\ &\qquad + (1-x)\int_0^x \frac{2g(\eta)}{1-\eta}d\eta + x\int_x^1 \frac{2g(\eta)}{\eta}d\eta. \end{aligned}$$

If $g(x) = 1,\ x \in (0,1)$ then $\gamma(x)$ is the mean time to absorption at 0 or 1 when $X(0) = x$. There is a non-linear equation to solve of

$$k(x) = k(0)(1-x) + k(1)x - 2(1-x)\log(1-x) - 2x\log x.$$

It is possible that $\gamma(x) = \infty$ if the Λ-coalescent does not come down from infinity.

2.6. The frequency spectrum in the infinitely-many-alleles model

We consider the infinitely-many-alleles model as a limit from a d-allele model with $\theta_i = \theta/d$, $i = 1, \ldots, d$. The limit is thought of as a limit from d points $X_1^d, \ldots, X_d^d$ to points of a point process $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$. The 1-dimensional frequency spectrum μ is a non-negative measure such that for suitable functions k on [0,1] in the stationary distribution

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} k(X_i)\Big] = \int_0^1 k(x)\mu(dx).$$

Symmetry in the d-allele model shows that

$$\int_0^1 k(x)\mu(dx) = \lim_{d\to\infty} d\mathbb{E}\Big[k(X_1)\Big].$$

The classical Wright-Fisher diffusion gives rise to the Poisson-Dirichlet process with a frequency spectrum of

$$\mu(dx) = \theta x^{-1}(1-x)^{\theta-1}dx,\ 0 < x < 1.$$

To obtain a limit in the Λ Fleming-Viot process let $\theta_1 = \theta/d$ in the generator (30). In the identity (33) the density of Z is $dzf_{X_1}(z)$, $0 < z < 1$, by symmetry. Let $d \to \infty$ in the identity (33). Then the identity becomes

$$VZ_* =^{\mathcal{D}} Z^*(1-W) + WV, \tag{44}$$

where Z_* is has a measure which is the size-biassed measure of $z\mu(dz)$ with respect to z, and Z^* similarly with respect to size-biassing of $1-z$. The constant θ appears in the identity (44) because of scaling in the size-biassed distributions. Z_* has a measure $(\theta+1)z^2\mu(dz)$ and Z^* has a measure $\theta^{-1}(\theta+1)z(1-z)\mu(dz)$, $0 < z < 1$. Let $\mu(dz) = \beta(z)dz$. The integral equations for the stationary distribution when there are two types imply an integral equation for $\beta(x)$. In view of (37)

$$x\beta(x) = -\frac{1}{\theta}f'_{\bullet L}(u), \tag{45}$$

where $f'_{\bullet L}(u)$ is similar to $f'_{\diamond L}(u)$ with $f_X(z)$ replaced by $\beta(z)$.

In the Wright-Fisher diffusion $W \equiv 0$ and the identity (44) is

$$VZ_* =^{\mathcal{D}} Z^*. \tag{46}$$

It is straightforward to verify that if Z has density $\theta(1-z)^{\theta-1}$, then (44) is satisfied. A direct solution can be found in the following way. From (46)

$$\theta\int_z^1 y\beta(y)dy = z(1-z)\beta(z), \tag{47}$$

where θ is defined by

$$\theta = \frac{\int_0^1 z^2\beta(z)dz}{\int_0^1 z(1-z)\beta(z)dz}.$$

Write (47) as

$$\frac{d}{dz}\log\int_z^1 y\beta(y)dy = -\theta(1-z)^{-1}.$$

Solving this differential equation,

$$\log\int_z^1 y\beta(y)dy = \theta\log(1-z) + A,$$

for a constant A. Therefore

$$\int_z^1 y\beta(y)dy = (1-z)^\theta$$

because $\int_0^1 yh(y)dy = 1$, and since (47) holds

$$\beta(z) = \theta z^{-1}(1-z)^{\theta-1},\ 0 < z < 1.$$

2.7. A different dual process

The typed Λ-coalescent tree process is a moment dual in the Fleming-Viot process, see for example Etheridge et al. (2010). We work through a different type of dual process which is a death process decreasing in steps of 1. Let $d = 2$ for simplicity. The generator $\mathcal{L}$ is specified by (30). Let $\{g_n(x)\}$ be a sequence of monic polynomials that are defined below satisfying the generator equation

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}g_n &= \frac{1}{2}x(1-x)\mathbb{E}g_n''(x(1-W)+VW) + \frac{1}{2}(\theta_1-\theta x)g_n'(x)\\ &= \binom{n}{2}\mathbb{E}(1-W)^{n-2}[g_{n-1}(x)-g_n(x)] + n\frac{1}{2}[\theta_1 g_{n-1}(x)-\theta g_n(x)]\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

with $g_0(x) = 1$. Equation (48) is an analogue of the Wright-Fisher diffusion when we look at $g_n(x) = x^n$, with the second line chosen to mimic the Wright-Fisher case. Rearrange the equation to define $g_n(x)$ in terms of $g_{n-1}(x)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}x(1-x)\mathbb{E}g_n''(x(1-W)+VW) + \frac{1}{2}(\theta_1 - \theta x)g_n'(x) + \lambda_n g_n(x)\\ &\quad = \frac{n}{2}\Big[(n-1)\mathbb{E}(1-W)^{n-2} + \theta_1\Big]g_{n-1}(x) \qquad (49)\end{aligned}$$

The polynomials $\{g_n(x)\}$ are well defined by (49) by recursively calculating the coefficients of x^r in $g_n(x)$ from $r = n-1, n-2, \dots, 0$. Another way is to calculate a series expansion in terms of the polynomial eigenfunctions. Suppose that

$$g_n(x) = P_n(x) + \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} b_{nr}P_r(x).$$

Denote

$$\lambda_n^\circ = \frac{n}{2}\Big[(n-1)\mathbb{E}(1-W)^{n-2} + \theta_1\Big].$$

From (49) and noting that

$$\mathcal{L}P_n = -\lambda_n P_n,\ \ \mathcal{L}g_n = -\lambda_n g_n + \lambda_n^\circ g_{n-1} \qquad (50)$$

we have that

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} b_{nr}[-\lambda_r + \lambda_n]P_r(x) = \lambda_n^\circ \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} b_{n-1,r}P_r(x). \qquad (51)$$

$g_l(x)$ being a monic polynomial means that $b_{ll} = 1$, $l = 0, 1, \dots$. Calculating coefficients from (51)

$$\begin{aligned}b_{nr} &= \frac{\lambda_n^\circ}{\lambda_n - \lambda_r} b_{n-1,r}\\ &= \frac{\lambda_n^\circ \lambda_{n-1}^\circ \cdots \lambda_{r+1}^\circ}{(\lambda_n - \lambda_r)(\lambda_{n-1} - \lambda_r)\cdots(\lambda_{r+1} - \lambda_r)}.\end{aligned}$$

The eigenfunctions $\{P_n(x)\}$ also have an expansion in terms of the polynomials $\{g_r(x)\}$. Let

$$P_n(x) = g_n(x) + \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} c_{nr}g_r(x). \qquad (52)$$

From (50)

$$-\lambda_n P_n = -\lambda_n g_n + \lambda_n^\circ g_{n-1} + \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} c_{nr}\Big[-\lambda_r c_{nr} + \lambda_{r+1}^\circ c_{nr+1}\Big].$$

Expressing the left side by the expansion (52) and equating coefficients of g_r

$$-\lambda_n c_{nr} = -\lambda_r c_{nr} + \lambda_{r+1}^\circ c_{nr+1}.$$

The coefficients therefore are

$$c_{nr} = \frac{\lambda_{r+1}^\circ \cdots \lambda_n^\circ}{(\lambda_r - \lambda_n)\cdots(\lambda_{n-1}-\lambda_n)}. \tag{53}$$

Scale the equation (48) by taking

$$\begin{aligned} g_n &= \frac{\lambda_n^\circ \cdots \lambda_1^\circ}{\lambda_n \cdots \lambda_1} h_n \\ &= \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n \Big((j-1)\mathbb{E}(1-W)^{j-2} + \theta_1\Big)}{\prod_{j=1}^n \Big((j-1)\mathbb{E}(1-W)^{j-2} + \theta\Big)} h_n. \end{aligned}$$

Denote ω_n as a Beta moment analog

$$\omega_n = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n \Big((j-1)\mathbb{E}(1-W)^{j-2} + \theta_1\Big)}{\prod_{j=1}^n \Big((j-1)\mathbb{E}(1-W)^{j-2} + \theta\Big)}.$$

so

$$g_n = \omega_n h_n.$$

Note that if X has a stationary distribution then

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[g_n(X)\Big] = \omega_n.$$

The polynomials $\{P_n(x)\}$ are analogous to the monic Jacobi polynomials orthogonal on the Beta (θ_1, θ_2) distribution with $\{g_n(x)\}$ analogous to $\{x^n\}$. If $W \equiv 0$ then they are identical in the analogy. In the Jacobi polynomial case (53) simplifies to

$$c_{nr} = (-1)^{n-r}\frac{(n-r-1)!}{r!}\frac{\theta_{1(n)}}{\theta_{1(r)}}\frac{(n+\theta)_{(r)}}{(n+\theta)_{(n-1)}}.$$

The process is not reversible, so the polynomials are not orthogonal on any measure unless they are the Jacobi polynomials.

To obtain a dual generator note that

$$\mathcal{L}h_n = \lambda_n[h_{n-1} - h_n]$$

which is correctly set up as a dual generator equation. The dual equation is

$$\mathbb{E}_{X(0)=x}\Big[h_n(X(t))\Big] = \mathbb{E}_{N(0)=n}\Big[h_{N(t)}(x)\Big], \tag{54}$$

or equivalently

$$\mathbb{E}_{X(0)=x}\Big[g_n(X(t))\Big] = \mathbb{E}_{N(0)=n}\Big[\frac{\omega_n}{\omega_{N(t)}}g_{N(t)}(x)\Big], \tag{55}$$

where $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$ is a death process with rates λ_n. The process $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$ comes down from infinity if and only if

$$\sum_2^\infty \lambda_n^{-1} < \infty, \tag{56}$$

which implies the Λ-coalescent coming down from infinity because

$$\lambda_n = \sum_{k=2}^n \binom{n}{k}\lambda_{nk} + n\theta$$

so (56) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n=2}^\infty \Bigg[\sum_{k=2}^n \binom{n}{k}\lambda_{nk}\Bigg]^{-1} < \infty,$$

and

$$\sum_{n=2}^\infty \Bigg[\sum_{k=2}^n (k-1)\binom{n}{k}\lambda_{nk}\Bigg]^{-1} < \sum_{n=2}^\infty \Bigg[\sum_{k=2}^n \binom{n}{k}\lambda_{nk}\Bigg]^{-1} < \infty.$$

For example if W has a Beta (α, β) distribution then $\mathbb{E}\big[(1-W)^n\big] \sim Cn^{-\alpha}$, where C is a constant, so if $\alpha < 1$, then $\sum_{n=2}^\infty \lambda_n^{-1} < \infty$, because the nth term is asymptotic to $(C/2)n^{2-\alpha}$.

The transition functions for the process $\{N(t), t \geq 0\}$ are easily found from an eigenfunction analysis of the Q matrix, where $q_{jj} = -\lambda_j$ and $q_{jj-1} =$

λ_j. The left and right eigenvectors $l_j^{(k)}$ and $r_i^{(k)}$ are triangular in form with $l_j^{(k)} = 0,\ j > k$ and $r_i^{(k)} = 0,\ i < k$. Explicit formulae are $l_k^{(k)} = r_k^{(k)} = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} l_j^{(k)} &= \frac{(-1)^{k-j}\lambda_{j+1}\cdots\lambda_k}{(\lambda_j - \lambda_k)\cdots(\lambda_{k-1} - \lambda_k)},\ j < k, \\ r_i^{(k)} &= \frac{\lambda_i\cdots\lambda_{k+1}}{(\lambda_i - \lambda_k)\cdots(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k)},\ i > k. \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

The transition functions are then

$$\begin{aligned} &P\big(N(t) = j \mid N(0) = i\big) \\ &\quad = \sum_{k=j}^{i} e^{-\lambda_k t} r_i^{(k)} l_j^{(k)} \\ &\quad = \sum_{k=j}^{i} e^{-\lambda_k t}(-1)^{k-j}\frac{\lambda_{j+1}\cdots\lambda_i}{(\lambda_j - \lambda_k)\cdots(\lambda_{k-1} - \lambda_k)(\lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k)\cdots(\lambda_i - \lambda_k)}. \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

The distribution of $N(t)$ given an entrance boundary at infinity is the distribution as $i \to \infty$ which is

$$P\big(N(t) = j \mid N(0) = \infty\big) = \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_k t} r_\infty^{(k)} l_j^{(k)}, \tag{59}$$

where

$$r_\infty^{(k)} = \prod_{l=k}^{\infty}\Big(1 - \frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_l}\Big)^{-1},$$

well defined assuming the condition (56) when the coalescent comes down from infinity. The approach in finding the eigenfunction expansion for the transition distribution in the Kingman coalescent is taken in Tavaré (1984) (see also Griffiths (1980)). In the Kingman coalescent $\lambda_k = k(k + \theta - 1)/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} l_j^{(k)} &= (-1)^{k-j}\binom{k}{j}\frac{(j+\theta)_{(k-1)}}{(k+\theta)_{(k-1)}},\ j \le k, \\ r_i^{(k)} &= \binom{i}{k}\frac{(k+\theta)_{(k)}}{(i+\theta)_{(k)}},\ i \ge k, \end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

and

$$r_\infty^{(k)} = \frac{(k+\theta)_{(k)}}{k!}.$$

Higher dimensions

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the d-dimensional Λ-Fleming-Viot generator with mutation and define polynomials $\{g_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{x})\}$ with $g_{\boldsymbol{0}}(x) = 1$ by

$$\mathcal{L}g_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = -\lambda_{\boldsymbol{n}}g_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{x}) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{d}\frac{n_i}{n}\cdot n\Big((n_i-1)\mathbb{E}\Big[(1-W)^{n_i-2}\Big]+\theta_i\Big)g_{\boldsymbol{n}-\boldsymbol{e}_i}(\boldsymbol{x}). \quad (61)$$

This is an analogy with the Wright-Fisher generator acting on $\boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$. The polynomials are well defined by recursion on their coefficients. In a similar calculation to the two dimensional case there is a Dirichlet moment analogue

$$\mathbb{E}\big[g_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{x})\big] = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{d}\Big[\prod_{j=1}^{n_i}\big((j-1)\mathbb{E}\big[(1-W)^{j-2}\big]+\theta_i\big)\Big]}{\prod_{j=1}^{n}\big((j-1)\mathbb{E}\big[(1-W)^{j-2}\big]+\theta\big)}. \quad (62)$$

The dual process constructed from test functions $g_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{X})/\mathbb{E}\big[g_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{X})\big]$ has transitions

$$\boldsymbol{n} \to \boldsymbol{n} - \boldsymbol{e}_i \text{ at rate } \frac{n_i}{n}\Big((n-1)\mathbb{E}\Big[(1-W)^{n-2}\Big]+\theta\Big).$$

The dual equation is similar to (54). Let

$$h_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{g_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{x})}{\mathbb{E}[g_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{X})]}$$

then

$$\mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{X}(0)=\boldsymbol{x}}\Big[h_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{X}(t)\Big] = \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{N}(0)=\boldsymbol{n}}\Big[h_{\boldsymbol{N}(t)}(\boldsymbol{x})\Big], \quad (63)$$

The multitype death process has transition probabilities which are easy to describe from the sum of the entries $|N(t)|$ and (58),

$$P(\boldsymbol{N}(t) = \boldsymbol{m} \mid \boldsymbol{N}(0) = \boldsymbol{n}) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{d}\binom{n_j}{m_j}}{\binom{n}{m}}P(|\boldsymbol{N}(t)| = m \mid |\boldsymbol{N}(0)| = n).$$

An equation analogous to the k-dimensional Ewens' sampling formula in the Poisson Dirichlet process is to let $\theta_i = \theta/d$, $i = 1, \dots, d$, then the (labelled) sampling formula is

$$\lim_{d\to\infty} d_{[k]}\binom{n}{\boldsymbol{n}}\mathbb{E}\big[g_{\boldsymbol{n}}(\boldsymbol{X})\big],$$

where $\boldsymbol{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_k, 0, \ldots, 0)$. The sampling formula limit is

$$\frac{n!\theta^k}{n_1 \cdots n_k} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{k} \Big[\prod_{j=2}^{n_i} \mathbb{E}\big[(1-W)^{j-2}\big] \Big]}{\prod_{j=1}^{n} \Big[(j-1)\mathbb{E}\big[(1-W)^{j-2}\big] + \theta \Big]}.$$

Möhle (2006); Lessard (2010) study recursive equations leading to the Λ-coalescent sampling formula. The familiar Ewens' sampling formula is obtained by taking $W = 0$.

3. Acknowledgments

This research was partly done during during a visit to the Institute for Mathematical Sciences at the National University of Singapore in 2011 attending the program on Probability and Discrete Mathematics in Mathematical Biology and at the Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley, as a Miller Institute Visiting Research Professor in 2012. The author thanks the institutions for their support and hospitality. Thanks also to many colleagues for their helpful discussion on this research.

References

Berestycki, N. 2009. Recent progress in coalescent theory. Ensaios Matematicos 16, 1-193.

Berestycki J, Berestycki N, and Limic V. 2012a. Asymptotic sampling formulae for Λ-coalescents. arXiv:1201.6512V1.

Berestycki J, Berestycki N, and Limic V. 2012b. A small-time coupling between Λ-coalescents and branching processes. Preprint.

Bertoin, J. and Le Gall, J. -F. 2003. Stochastic flows associated to coalescent processes. Probab Theory Related Fields 126, 249-266.

J. Bertoin and J.-F. Le Gall 2006. Stochastic flows associated to coalescent processes III: Limit theorems. Illinois J. Math, 50, 147-181.

Birkner, M., Blath, J.,Capaldo, M., Etheridge, A., Möhle, M., Schweinsberg, J., Wakolbinger, A. 2005. Alpha-stable branching and Beta-coalescents. Electron. J. Probab. 10, 303-325.

Birkner, B. and Blath, J. 2009. Measure-Valued diffusions, general coalescents and population genetic inference. Chapter 12 in: Trends in Stochastic Analysis, Cambridge University Press.

Der, R., Epstein, C. L. and Plotkin, J. B. 2011. Generalized population models and the nature of genetic drift. Theoret. Popul. Biol. 80, 80-99.

Der, R., Epstein, C. L. and Plotkin, J. B. 2012. The Dynamics of Neutral and Selected Alleles When the Offspring Distribution is Skewed. Pre-published in Genetics.

Eldon, B., Wakeley, J. 2006. Coalescent processes when the distribution of offspring number among individuals is highly skewed. Genetics, 172, 2621-2633.

Etheridge, A. 2012. Some mathematical models from population genetics. École d'Été de Probabilitités de Saint-Flour XXXIX-2009. Springer.

Etheridge, A. M., Griffiths, R. C., Taylor, J. E. 2010. A coalescent dual process in a Moran model with genic selection, and the lambda coalescent limit. Theor. Popul. Biol. 78 77-92.

Griffiths, R.C. 1980. Lines of descent in the diffusion approximation of neutral Wright-Fisher models. Theoret. Popul. Biol. 17, 37-50.

Lessard, S. 2010. Recurrence equations for the probability distribution of sample configurations in exact population genetics models. J. Appl. Probab. 47, 732-751.

Möhle, M. 2006. On sampling distributions for coalescent processes with simultaneous multiple collisions. Bernoulli 12, 35-53.

Möhle, M., Sagitov, S. 2001. A classification of coalescent processes for haploid exchangeable models. Ann. Probab. 20, 1547-1562.

Pitman, J. 1999. Coalescents with multiple collisions. Ann. Probab. 27, 1870-1902.

Pitman, J. 2002. Combinatorial Stochastic Processes. École d'Été de Probabilitités de Saint-Flour XXXII-2002. Springer.

Sagitov, S. 1999. The general coalescent with asynchronous mergers of ancestral lines. J. Appl. Probab. 36, 1116-1125.

Schweinsberg, J. 2000. A necessary and sufficient condition for the Λ-coalescent to come down from infinity. Electron. Comm. Probab. 5, 1-11.

Schweinsberg, J. 2003. Coalescent processes obtained from supercritical Galton-Watson processes. Stoch. Proc. Appl. 106, 107-139.

Tavaré, S. 1984. Line-of-descent and genealogical processes, and their application in population genetics models. Theoret. Popul. Biol. 26, 119–164.